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Australia’s coal export boom and the industrialisation of the Great Barrier Reef

Australia is on the verge of an unprecedented coal boom. The epicentre of this expansion is the yet to be developed Galilee Basin in Central Queensland. Galilee is the proposed site for a series of mega mines that will cause Australia’s coal exports to more than double within a decade. The creation of mega mines in Central Queensland, the accompanying export infrastructure and increases in shipping traffic, as well as the burning of the coal they produce, place an incredible burden on Australia’s Great Barrier Reef.

The industrialisation of the Great Barrier Reef is already causing alarm. Approvals for new gas processing plants on Curtis Island off Gladstone in 2011 prompted a stinging rebuke from United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) – the UN body charged with protecting World Heritage Areas. UNESCO is sending a team to Australia this March to assess the potential impact of all development projects on the World Heritage Area.

In response, on 18 February 2012, the Queensland and Australian Governments announced a new strategic assessment aimed at protecting the unique environmental values of the World Heritage Area and the Great Barrier Reef coast1. The 18 month assessment is expected to be the most comprehensive ever carried out in Australia.

Queensland Environment Minister, Vicky Darling, welcomed the strategic assessment stating “the Great Barrier Reef is one of the world’s favourite playgrounds… a $5 billion asset for our economy and supports more than 60,000 jobs for Queensland.”2 The assessment brings an important opportunity to address some of the causes of the rapid decline of the Great Barrier Reef. However, if major coastal developments aren’t suspended while the assessment is conducted, more large scale damage to the reef will be locked in.

Rich in unique biodiversity and the economic heartbeat for much of Queensland, the Great Barrier Reef is an irreplaceable Australian icon. UNESCO calls the Great Barrier Reef “one of the jewels in the World Heritage crown.”3

Today, the Great Barrier Reef is fragile and under threat. Climate change, ocean acidification, pollution and shipping are all damaging the health of the reef ecosystem. Directly contributing to and exacerbating these threats is reckless industrialisation, driven by the unprecedented expansion of Queensland’s coal and gas industries.

“The Great Barrier Reef... is the world’s most extensive stretch of coral reef and is probably the richest area in terms of faunal diversity in the world. Its great diversity reflects the maturity of an ecosystem which has evolved over millions of years on the north-east continental shelf of Australia.”

UNESCO4

Artists impression of one of the proposed new coal ports at Abbot Point.
Queensland is the site of a dramatic expansion in coal and gas exploitation. With the vast majority of these fossil fuels destined for export, the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area and surrounding coastal zones are facing significant development pressure in terms of ports and infrastructure, as well as an increase in marine traffic.

The expansion of Gladstone harbour is already controversial. UNESCO’s ‘extreme concern’ over the management of the World Heritage Area was sparked by the approval of LNG terminals on Curtis Island. However, Gladstone is only one part of a much larger picture. Major new infrastructure is proposed along almost the entire length of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area – from Gladstone to Cape York. Expansion of existing coal terminals is underway at Hay Point and Abbot Point. New coal terminals are proposed at Wiggins Island, Raglan Creek, Balaclava Island, Dudgeon Point, Abbot Point and Cape York.

Driven by the development of some of the world’s largest coal mines in the Galilee Basin, the most significant increase in infrastructure will take place at Abbot Point, near the town of Bowen. With proposals for nine new terminals, Abbot Point could undergo a near eight-fold increase in capacity, from 50 million tonnes of coal per annum (mtpa) to 385 mtpa before the end of the decade. This compares to current total Australian coal exports of 284 mtpa in 2010-2011. Australia is currently the world’s largest coal exporter and this development would make Abbot Point the largest coal export port in the world.

For all ports in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, total coal tonnage is proposed to increase more than six-fold, from a throughput of 156 million tonnes in 2011 to a capacity of 944 million tonnes by the end of the decade.

Land use
Coastal habitats are a vital component of the Great Barrier Reef ecosystem. Beaches provide nesting grounds to turtles and seabirds. Mangroves are home to a diverse range of marine life, breeding grounds for fish and habitat for birds and land based animals. Seagrass meadows are the nurseries of juvenile fish, prawns, crayfish, and crabs and the primary food source of dugongs and green turtles.

Coastal development has a range of consequences for the Great Barrier Reef ecosystem. Massive swathes of wetlands and dune systems have already been lost and those remaining are under sustained threat from industrial development including from infrastructure associated with the fossil fuel export boom.

Water runs into the Great Barrier Reef from a total catchment of 424,000km². The low quality of this water mainly resulting from excessive nutrient, sediment and pesticide runoff from agriculture has deleterious effects on many marine organisms. Mining can also contribute to the problem as contaminated water from mine sites containing heavy metals, acid-leachate, salts and sediments is frequently released into the Great Barrier Reef catchment.

Development of the proposed coal terminals located in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area will result in the destruction and disturbance of significant areas of Queensland coastline both on and offshore. On land, the development will result in coal terminals covering over 5,000 hectares: an area equivalent to over 2,500 times the size of the Melbourne Cricket Ground (MCG). This area is only a fraction of what will be disturbed by coal train yards, other associated infrastructure and coal wharfs.

“The World Heritage Centre and IUCN recall the World Heritage Committee’s clear position in relation to oil and gas exploration and exploitation, that these activities are incompatible with World Heritage status.”

UNESCO World Heritage Committee
27 May 2011
The development of most of the proposed new coal export terminals will require dredging to permit massive coal ships access to the loading wharfs. Dredging destroys the seabed and can resuspend contaminants into the water column causing widespread impacts. For example, dredging at Hay Point in 2007 impacted corals 12 kilometres away.

In the five years since January 2007, the dredging of 52,581,000 m³ from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area has been approved by the Australian Government. Approval is currently being sought for another 60,603,000 m³. In total, if all the approved and proposed dredging goes ahead it equates to removing enough material from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area to fill the MCG 67 times.

At both Hay Point and Abbot Point there are plans to dump millions of tonnes of material in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. At Abbot Point there are plans to dredge 3,000,000 cubic meters of material from a previously untouched area of the port, an area known to be foraging habitat for six species of threatened turtle including the endangered Loggerhead and Olive Ridley turtles.

A specific example of this threat, are the current plans by Xstrata Coal Queensland (XCQ) and the Mitchell Group to establish two new coal terminals near Port Alma, approximately 40 kilometres north of Gladstone. Balaclava Island and nearby waters are prime feeding and breeding habitat for the Fitzroy River-Keppel Bay population of snubfin dolphins: one of the most important subpopulations for the long-term survival of the snubfin.

If these coal terminals go ahead, the future of this rare and beautiful creature is bleak.

**Case Study: Snubfin Dolphin**

Queensland’s coal mining boom is set to have disastrous impacts on the rare and unique marine life inhabiting the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, including Australia’s only endemic dolphin – the snubfin dolphin.

The snubfin dolphin was only described as a new species in 2005. Shy and elusive, it is known to inhabit inshore coastal environments, in waters less than 15 metres deep and in small and isolated populations.

Despite being considered the rarest dolphins in Queensland, most of their critical habitats are earmarked for development. This will result in dredging of their feeding and breeding grounds to make way for shipping channels and cargo terminals.

A specific example of this threat, are the current plans by Xstrata Coal Queensland (XCQ) and the Mitchell Group to establish two new coal terminals near Port Alma, approximately 40 kilometres north of Gladstone. Balaclava Island and nearby waters are prime feeding and breeding habitat for the Fitzroy River-Keppel Bay population of snubfin dolphins: one of the most important subpopulations for the long-term survival of the snubfin.

If these coal terminals go ahead, the future of this rare and beautiful creature is bleak.

**Dredging**

The development of most of the proposed new coal export terminals will require dredging to permit massive coal ships access to the loading wharfs. Dredging destroys the seabed and can resuspend contaminants into the water column causing widespread impacts. For example, dredging at Hay Point in 2007 impacted corals 12 kilometres away.

In the five years since January 2007, the dredging of 52,581,000 m³ from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area has been approved by the Australian Government. Approval is currently being sought for another 60,603,000 m³. In total, if all the approved and proposed dredging goes ahead it equates to removing enough material from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area to fill the MCG 67 times.

At both Hay Point and Abbot Point there are plans to dump millions of tonnes of material in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. At Abbot Point there are plans to dredge 3,000,000 cubic meters of material from a previously untouched area of the port, an area known to be foraging habitat for six species of threatened turtle including the endangered Loggerhead and Olive Ridley turtles.

At Hay Point (Dudgeon Point) 13,000,000 cubic meters of material will be removed from an area through which dugongs (a species known to be sensitive to water quality) are known to pass.
The great coal superhighway

The dramatic increase in ports will result in a significant intensification of shipping traffic through the Great Barrier Reef. Every coal-laden vessel departing ports at Gladstone, Hay Point, Abbot Point and Cape York passes through the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.

Based on analysis of proposed shipping data, Greenpeace estimates that coal ships departing from Gladstone will increase from 640 to 2,445 by the end of the decade, while from Hay Point, the number will reach 3,625 up from 892 in 2011. At Abbot Point, vessels will increase 21-fold, reaching a capacity of 4,079 per year by 2020, up from 190 in 2011.

In total, coal ships passing through the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area will increase from 1,722 in 2011 to a capacity of 10,150 by the end of the decade. This is the equivalent of more than one ship departing port every hour of every day, 365 days a year.

The negative impacts of shipping on the reef include collisions, groundings, introduction of invasive marine pests, oil and chemical spills, introduction of anti-fouling paints, waste disposal and anchor damage. Since 1985 an average of two major shipping incidents (such as collisions or groundings) have occurred in the Great Barrier Reef each year.

With numbers increasing, so does the likelihood of a major shipping incident.

Coal port expansions and shipping increases in the Great Barrier Reef

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Throughput 2011 (tonnes)</th>
<th>FY 2011 vessels</th>
<th>Current Capacity (mtpa)</th>
<th>Proposed Capacity (mtpa)</th>
<th>Predicted 2020 Vessels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gladstone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barney Point Coal Terminal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG Tanna Coal Terminal</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggins Island Coal Export Terminal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzroy Terminal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balaclava Island</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hay Point</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay Point Coal terminal</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalrymple Bay Coal Terminal</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85+</td>
<td>1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudgeon Point Coal Terminals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>3,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abbot Point</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminals 4-9 &amp;MCF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>4,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cape York</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wongai</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GBR Coal Ports Total</strong></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1,722</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>10,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How Australia’s coal export boom is driving reckless industrialisation of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.

Capacity for coal exports by 2020:

944 million tonnes

That’s enough to fill a coal train and wrap it 4½ times around the world.

Coal throughput in 2011:

156 million tonnes

Galilee Basin

Australia is on the verge of an unprecedented coal boom. The epicentre of this expansion is the yet to be developed Galilee Basin in Central Queensland. Owned by a handful of mining magnates such as Clive Palmer and foreign conglomerates such as GVK and Adani, Galilee is the proposed site for a series of mega mines that will cause Australia’s coal exports to more than double within a decade. The creation of mega mines in Central Queensland, the accompanying export infrastructure and increases in shipping traffic, as well as the burning of the coal they produce, place an incredible burden on Australia’s Great Barrier Reef.
### Galilee Basin

Australia is on the verge of an unprecedented coal boom. The epicentre of this expansion is the yet to be developed Galilee Basin in Central Queensland. Owned by a handful of mining magnates such as Clive Palmer and foreign conglomerates such as GVK and Adani, Galilee is the proposed site for a series of mega mines that will cause Australia’s coal exports to more than double within a decade. The creation of mega mines in Central Queensland, the accompanying export infrastructure and increases in shipping traffic, as well as the burning of the coal they produce, place an incredible burden on Australia’s Great Barrier Reef.

### Shen Neng 1

Grounded for nine days, in 2010 the Shen Neng 1 destroyed around 290,000 m² of reef. Since 1985, an average of two major shipping incidents have occurred every year.

### Hay Point

Hay Point is already one of the world’s biggest coal export ports. Planned expansions will more than double capacity from the area.

### Abbot Point

Abbot Point is set to eclipse all other ports to become the world’s largest coal export terminal. The result? Over 20 ships per day passing the Whitsunday Islands.

### Gladstone

As well as LNG terminals three new coal ports are planned for the Gladstone area, almost tripling capacity and destroying dolphin and dugong habitat in the process.

---

**Number of coal ships in 2011:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port</th>
<th>Number of Ships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abbot Point</td>
<td>3625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay Point</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladstone</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of coal ships by 2020:**

- **10,150** (more than one per hour all year)

**Proposed and approved dredged material removed from the Great Barrier Reef would fill:**

- **67 MCGs** or **113,184,000 m³** (Melbourne Cricket Ground)
At 10.54am on 3 April 2010 the Shen Neng 1 departed Gladstone Port loaded with over 978 tonnes of bunker fuel oil and a cargo of 68,052 tonnes of coal.

Just after 5pm that afternoon the ship ran aground on the Douglas Shoal, a large isolated reef close to the mouth of the Capricorn Channel; one of the channels vessels can use to pass through the Great Barrier Reef. The ship’s hull was seriously damaged by the grounding. The engine room, plus six water ballast and fuel oil tanks were breached.

During the nine days the vessel was grounded the sea pushed the vessel across the reef destroying or damaging much of the 290,000m$^2$ it passed over. An initial investigation of Douglas Shoal by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) found “spatially extensive and severe damage to the reef community on Douglas Shoal”. The damage included near complete destruction of the ecological community in areas of the reef. Antifoulant paint was also found smeared among the rubble and damaged reef. This product is known to be toxic to corals and is designed to prevent the growth of marine organisms potentially further inhibiting the reef’s recovery.

Fortunately only about 2½ tonnes of fuel oil were released into the sea. In the early stages of the incident a 2-3 metre swell prevented responders deploying booms to contain the oil. If a more significant release of oil had occurred in these conditions, it would have been impossible to contain, causing enormous damage to the reef system.

The collision had been caused by the failure of the ship’s crew to change course into the shipping channel. The investigation found the chief mate’s “monitoring of the ship’s position was ineffective and his actions were affected by fatigue”. The incident highlights that shipping through the barrier reef is always a risk as human error can never be eliminated.

Case Study: Shen Neng 1 Incident
The Threat of Climate Change

The GBRMPA has identified climate change, pollution from catchment runoff, destruction of coastal habitats by coastal development (including industrial) and impacts from fishing and poaching as the priority issues reducing the resilience of the Great Barrier Reef. Ultimately, climate change and ocean acidification pose the greatest threat to the reef. Coral is extremely sensitive to even short periods of increased sea temperatures, resulting in coral bleaching (death). Over the past two decades a number of major bleaching events have occurred, in two cases (1997/8 and 2002/3 approximately 50% of the reef was affected). Analyses of coral cores dating back to the 1700s indicate that seas are warmer now than at any other time and the trend is set to continue. A rise in sea temperature of 1°C is predicted by 2050 and a rise of 2-3°C is predicted by the end of the century under some climate models where greenhouse gas emissions are not tightly controlled. Such a rise in temperature could be fatal for the reef resulting in the annual bleaching of over 97% of the reef and the functional extinction of hard corals. Ocean acidification is a result of oceans absorbing the increasing amounts of carbon dioxide that humans are releasing into the atmosphere. Whilst this is a simple chemical process its biological consequences are difficult to predict and are poorly understood. Even small changes in ocean acidity reduce the ability of corals to produce skeletons and GBRMPA predicts that this may be the most significant climate factor affecting the Great Barrier Reef ecosystem.

Burning coal for energy is the single biggest fossil fuel contributor to climate change. The planned exponential increase in the volume of coal mined, exported and eventually burnt places the Great Barrier Reef in even greater danger.

“Reefs are the ocean’s canaries and we must hear their call. This call is not just for themselves, for the other great ecosystems of the ocean stand behind reefs like a row of dominoes. If coral reefs fall, the rest will follow in rapid succession.”

J.E.N. Veron, former chief scientist of the Australian Institute of Marine Science

A researcher records the effects of coral bleaching on Fitzroy Reef.
The future?

The permanent destruction of the Great Barrier Reef would be both an environmental and economic disaster. But it is the reality we face today.

Significant industrialisation has already taken place in and around the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. This will be dwarfed if the proposed coal and gas expansions are approved.

The state and federal governments’ 18 month strategic assessment of the reef is an important opportunity to look in-depth at the cumulative threats to its survival. It is essential this assessment lays down a detailed immediate action plan for long-term protection of the reef.

It is also critical that the state and federal governments ensure major new infrastructure developments are not approved during the assessment period. Otherwise by the time the assessment is completed, massive damage to the reef will already be locked in.

The findings of the UNESCO monitoring mission will be discussed at the World Heritage Convention in St Petersburg in the Russian Federation in June and July this year.

There is a possibility that UNESCO will declare the Great Barrier Reef ‘in danger’ as a result of the government failing to address the cumulative industrial impacts facing the reef, classing it alongside sites in war-torn Afghanistan, Yemen and the Democratic Republic of Congo. Such a classification would be a major embarrassment for Australia. Whatever the Great Barrier Reef’s classification, Australia’s current actions are threatening one of the world’s most important ecological areas.

Sacrificing the Great Barrier Reef for industrial excess cannot be an option. Today, we still have the opportunity to protect it, but that window is closing fast.